Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/920,827	KIM, JAI CHUL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thjuan P. Knowlin	2642
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Thjuan P. Knowlin</u> .	(3) <u>Seth Kim</u> .	
(2) Ahmad Matar.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 September 2005.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed: Slipy et al (US 5,848,152).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Applicant's representative described the claimed invention and explained that Slipy did not teach a cover		
"Slidingly fitted shing" a groove on the body		
mobile telephone. It appears that Suppression		
scarch will be performed. A new office action in		
this RCE will be forthcoming	J.	m.L
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Examiner's signa	Ature, if required